


DOCKSIDE

DOctoral program in **Kh**mer universities
Strengthening the **I**nternational **D**evelopment
of **E**nvironmental and maritime research

ENVIRONMENTAL AND MARITIME RESEARCH (EMR) WORKSHOP

18th - 20th of October 2017 - Royal University of Agriculture



UNIVERSIDADE
DE VIGO



UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES



SYDDANSK UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN DENMARK



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Trade-offs between ecosystem services and opportunity costs of ES maintaining in the Tonle Sap Lake agro-ecosystem (Cambodia)

Authors: Malyne NEANG ⁽¹⁾, Philippe MERAL ⁽²⁾, Olivier AZNAR ⁽³⁾, Christophe DEPRES⁽³⁾

(1) Royal University of Agriculture, Cambodia.

(2) IRD, UMR-GRED (Montpellier, France)

(3) Metafort-VetAgro Sup (Clermont-Ferrand, France)

Presented by NEANG Malyne,

Director of ECOLAND research center

Division of Research and Extension

Royal University of Agriculture

nmalyne@gmail.com / nmalyne@rua.edu.kh



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union


Introduction



Cambodia is an agricultural country and Indo-Burma biodiversity hotspot: 4 of the global 200 Ecoregions “habitats of terrestrial, aquatic, marine and bird species”.

Main agro-ecosystem: **waste central floodplain of Tonle Sap Lake (TSL) and Lower Mekong river basin**

- One quarter of the country’s surface
- and equal to 80% of total rice field surface.
- High fertility but high risk of flood



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Cambodian important agro-ecosystem



15,000 km² in rainy season (May-Oct) and 2,500 km² in Dry season (Nov-Apr).

Biosphere Reserve of Cambodia and the world's most productive freshwater and wetland ecosystems :

- fourth most productive captive fishery in the world, representing 16% of the Mekong river fish capture.
- flood regulation “flood pulse”
- and large seasonal reproductive grassland habitat to two-thirds of the world's bird populations.

Ecosystem of Tonle Sap Lake, a productive and vulnerable agro-ecosystem needs to be preserved



UNIVERSIDADE DE VIGO



UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Farmers' choices on agro-ecosystem with high risk of flood



3 main strategies from farmers to combine different rice cropping systems on TLS agro-ecosystem:

Increase productivity with low risk of flood: “short-term rice”

Continue to produce despite floods: “rainy season rice” and “floating rice”

Increase value-added: “organic rice”



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Trade of between Ecosystem Services (ES) and Ecosystem Dis-services (EDS) from short-term rice



A simple view



Ecosystem Services (ES):
High Provisioning services
- High Yield

Ecosystem Disservices

- Disturb water flow and sedimentation
- Decrease inland aquatic habitat and agrobiodiversity
- Increase Water and Soil Pollution
- Produce food with high chemical residues
- Reduce genetic resources in rice varieties



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Trade-off between Ecosystem Services in Short-term rice



A simple view



Low provisioning service:

- Low yield

Other provisioning serves:


- NTFPs
- Fish, Vegetables and other agro-biodiversity
- Grazing
- Fire wood



Others ES:

Regulating service:

- Flood regulation, Habitat and Biodiversity
- soil formation from deposit
- Preserve fauna, flora and amphibians of rice fields.
- Less chemical residue leaching into water.
- soil biodiversity and water quality



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Economic comparison



An example

	Yield	Price	Income	Cost for Chemical Input	Cost for labour and others	Cost Rent land & Water	Margin 1 (without land and water cost)	Margin 2 (with land rent & water costs)
	<u>t/ha</u>	<u>\$/t</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>	<u>\$/ha</u>
STR	4.65	200	930	220	344	236	366	130
FR	1.6	190	304	47	140	0	117	

Total cost: 800\$



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Perspective from different actors for rice sector in Cambodia



Government

Policy will : Productivity increase and export

Farmer

- Farmers like eating floating rice
- Farmers advised that floating rice is good for health, in particular elder people because this rice can ease blood circulation and joint pains.
- Floating rice uses less chemical inputs, so there are less chemical residues in soil and water.
- If floating rice farmers continue to produce on existing rice fields and keep Roneam trees in their rice field, floating rice can contribute to Fishery Natural Resources management.
- Floating rice and animal grazing is a harmonious combination.

Consumer

- Health degradation caused by chemical inputs
- Negative impact of agriculture on environment



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

The future is in our hand



Only rich and large scale farmers?



OR

Produce in harmony
“rice - agrobiodiversity - human”?



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union

Thank you for your attention



Co-funded by the Erasmus+ Programme of the European Union